UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-1733

ZACHARY GREENBERG

v.

JERRY M. LEHOCKY, in his official capacity as Board Chair of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; DION G. RASSIAS, in his official capacity as Board Vice-Chair of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; JOSHUA M. BLOOM, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; CELESTE L. DEE, in her official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; LAURA E. ELLSWORTH, in her official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; CHRISTOPHER M. MILLER, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; ROBERT J. MONGELUZZI, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; GRETCHEN A. MUNDORFF, in her official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; JOHN C. RAFFERTY, JR., in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; HON. ROBERT L. REPARD, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; DAVID S. SENOFF, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; SHOHIN H. VANCE, in his official capacity as Member of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; THOMAS J. FARRELL, in his official capacity as Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel; RAYMOND S. WIERCISZEWSKI, in his official capacity as Deputy Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel,

Appellants

(D.C. Civ. No. 2-20-cv-03822)

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Present: CHAGARES, <u>Chief Judge</u>, JORDAN, HARDIMAN, SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO, BIBAS, PORTER, MATEY, PHIPPS, FREEMAN, MONTGOMERY-REEVES, CHUNG, SCIRICA*, and AMBRO*, Circuit Judges

The petition for rehearing filed by Appellee in the above-entitled case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision of this Court and to all the other available circuit judges of the circuit in regular active service, and no judge who concurred in the decision having asked for rehearing, and a majority of the judges of the circuit in regular service not having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by the panel and the Court en banc, is denied.

BY THE COURT,

s/Anthony J. Scirica
Circuit Judge

Dated: October 3, 2023

Amr/cc: All counsel of record

*As to panel rehearing only.

2